

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

 Washington, D.C. 20231	

DATE MAILED:

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/164,223	09/30/98	GAIGER	Α	210121
<del></del>			EXAMINER	
1 000500 SEED INTELL	ECTUAL PROP	SCHWA	DRON, R	
701 FIFTH A			ART UNI	T PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 6300 SEATTLE WA	98104-7092		1644	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

10/04/00

## Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/164,223

Applic (s)

Gaiger et al.

Examiner

Ron Schwadron, Ph.D.

Group Art Unit

This action is FINAL.	المحمولين على المراجع
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for for accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C</li> </ul>	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to es longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions 37 CFR 1.136(a).	xpire1 month(s), or thirty days, whichever
Disposition of Claims	is/are pending in the application.
☑ Claim(s) <u>1-103</u>	is loss withdrawn from consideration
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are within awn from consideration
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
Claim(s)	Is/are rejected.
Claim(s)	Is/are objected to.
	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). the priority documents have been per) nternational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No. Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-94 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON T	HE FOLLOWING PAGES

## Art Unit 1644

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:
- I. Claims 1-9,11,12,13,14-22 are drawn to peptides and compositions and vaccines containing said peptide, classified in Class 514, subclass 2.
- II. Claims 10,23,24 are drawn to mimetic, classified in Class 514, subclass 23.
- III. Claims 25,26,30,33,34 are drawn to polynucleotides, classified in Class 514, subclass 44.
- IV. Claims 27,32-34 are drawn to antibodies, classified in Class 424, subclass 130.1.
- V. Claim 28 is drawn to T cells, classified in Class 424, subclass 93.71.
- VI. Claims 29,31,33,34 are drawn to APC, classified in Class 424, subclass 93.7.
- VII. Claims 35-37,39-58,63-69 are drawn to methods of treatment using peptides, classified in Class 514, subclass 885.
- VIII. Claims 36,38,40,42,47-54,63-69 are drawn to methods of treatment using polynucleotides, classified in Class 514, subclass 43.
- IX. Claims 36,38,40,42,47-54 are drawn to methods of treatment using antibodies, classified in Class 424, subclass 138.1.
- X. Claims 36,40,42,47-54,70-72,81-90 are drawn to methods of treatment using T cells, Class 424, subclass 534.
- XI. Claims 36,38,40,42,47 -54,63-69 are drawn to methods of treatment using APCs, classified in Class 424, subclass 529.
- XII. Claim 59 is drawn to a method of removing T cells, classified in Class 435, subclass 2.
- XIII. Claims 60-62 are drawn to a method of bone marrow transplantation, classified in Class 424, subclass 520.
- XIV. Claims 73-80,91-103 are drawn to methods of detection using polypeptides, classified in Class 435, subclass 7.2.
- XV. Claims 73-80,91,91-103 are drawn to methods of detection using polynucleotides, classified in Class 435, subclass 6.
- XVI. Claims 73-80,91-103 are drawn to methods of detection using APC, classified in Class 435 subclass 29.
- XVII. Claims 36,38,40,42-54 are drawn to methods of treatment using a mimetic, classified in Class 514, subclass 22.

- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 3. Inventions I-VI are different products. Invention I is drawn to peptides, while invention III is drawn to a mimetic, while invention III is drawn to polynucleotides, while invention IV is drawn to antibodies, while invention V is drawn to T cells, and invention VI is drawn to APC. These products are structurally different and have different art recognized uses. Inventions I-IV are drawn to inanimate molecules while inventions V and VI are drawn to cells. Inventions V and VI differ in that the art recognizes that APC and T cells are two different and unique types of cells that are structurally and functionally not related. The products of inventions I-IV are recognized in the art as structurally and functionally distinct with different art recognized uses. Therefore they are novel and unobvious in view of each other and are patentably distinct.
- 4. Inventions I and VII/XIV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (M.P.E.P. § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process such as an immunogen for the production of antibodies which bind said peptide.
- 5. Inventions II and XVII are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (M.P.E.P. § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process such as an immunogen for the production of antibodies which bind said mimetic.
- 6. Inventions III and VIII/XV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (M.P.E.P.

§ 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process such recombinant method for making the peptide encoded by said nucleic acid.

- 7. Inventions IV and IX are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (M.P.E.P. § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process such as immunopurification of the peptide which said antibody binds.
- 8. Inventions V and X are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (M.P.E.P. § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process such as immunogen for the production of antibodies which bind said T cell.
- 9. Inventions VI and XI/XVI are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (M.P.E.P. § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process such as immunogen for the production of antibodies which bind said APC.
- 10. Inventions VII to XVII are different methods that use different ingredients to achieve different goals. The inventions are drawn to methods of detection versus methods of treatment versus a method of bone marrow transplantation versus methods of T cell removal wherein the aforementioned methods use different ingredients to achieve different goals. The various methods of treatment use different products that are structurally and functionally distinct. The various methods of detection use different products that are structurally and functionally distinct. Therefore they are novel and unobvious in view of each other and are patentably distinct.

- Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for any group from Groups I-XVII is not required for any other group from Groups I-XVII and Groups I-XVII have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 12. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.
- 13. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h).
- 14. The following species requirement is required if applicant elects a group that recites a specific peptide with a specific SEQ. ID.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention which are the specific peptides encoded by the peptides disclosed in the SEQ. ID. listing. These peptides are different peptides with different amino acid sequences.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to

be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 15. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). Papers should be faxed to Group 1600 at (703) 308-4242.
- 16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Dr. Ron Schwadron whose telephone number is (703) 308-4680. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 7:30 to 6:00. A message may be left on the examiners voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Ms Christina Chan can be reached on (703) 308-3973. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group 1600 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

RONALD B. SCHWADRON
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1800 ( Low

Ron Schwadron, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit 1644